Make your own Retinal Projector: Retinal Near-Eye Displays via Metamaterials

Yoichi Ochiai University of Tsukuba Pixie Dust Technologies,Inc. wizard@slis.tsukuba.ac.jp Kazuki Otao University of Tsukuba Pixie Dust Technologies,Inc. kazuki.otao@pixiedusttech. com Yuta Itoh University of Tsukuba Pixie Dust Technologies,Inc.

Shouki Imai University of Tsukuba Pixie Dust Technologies,Inc.

Kazuki Takazawa University of Tsukuba Pixie Dust Technologies,Inc.

Hiroyuki Osone University of Tsukuba Pixie Dust Technologies,Inc. Atsushi Mori University of Tsukuba Pixie Dust Technologies,Inc. Ippei Suzuki University of Tsukuba PixieDustTechnologies,Inc.



Figure 1: (a) Prototype display based on our optical schematics. (b) The obtained image from see-through prototype. (c), (d) The result of applying simulated retinal blur. Note that there is a difference between the image acquired by the camera and the image actually seen through the human eye.

CCS CONCEPTS

Hardware → Displays and imagers;

KEYWORDS

augmented reality, near-eye displays, transmissive mirror device, retinal projection

ACM Reference format:

Yoichi Ochiai, Kazuki Otao, Yuta Itoh, Shouki Imai, Kazuki Takazawa, Hiroyuki Osone, Atsushi Mori, and Ippei Suzuki. 2018. Make your own Retinal Projector: Retinal Near-Eye Displays via Metamaterials. In *Proceedings of SIGGRAPH '18 Emerging Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada, August 12-16, 2018, 2* pages.

https://doi.org/10.1145/3214907.3214910

1 INTRODUCTION

Retinal projection is required for xR applications that can deliver immersive visual experience throughout the day. If general-purpose retinal projection methods can be realized at a low cost, not only could the image be displayed on the retina using less energy, but there is also a possibility of cutting off the weight of projection unit itself from the AR goggles. Several retinal projection methods have

SIGGRAPH '18 Emerging Technologies, August 12-16, 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada © 2018 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in *Proceedings of SIGGRAPH '18 Emerging Technologies, August 12-16, 2018*, https://doi.org/10.1145/3214910.

been previously proposed. Maxwellian optics based retinal projection was proposed in 1990s [Kollin 1993]. Laser scanning [Liao and Tsai 2009], laser projection using spatial light modulator (SLM) or holographic optical elements were also explored [Jang et al. 2017]. In the commercial field, QD Laser¹ with a viewing angle of 26 degrees is available. However, as the lenses and iris of an eyeball are in front of the retina, which is a limitation of a human eyeball, the proposal of retinal projection is generally fraught with narrow viewing angles and small eyebox problems. Due to these problems, retinal projection displays are still a rare commodity because of their difficulty in optical schematics design.

To solve this problem, we introduce novel methods and samples of an optical system for solving the common problems of retinal projection by using the metamaterial mirror (plane symmetric transfer optical system). Using this projection method, the designing of retinal projection becomes easy, and if appropriate optics are available, it would be possible to construct an optical system that allows quick follow-up of retinal projection hardware [Ochiai 2018].

2 DESIGN

A Transmissive Mirror Device (TMD) consists of micro-mirrors that can render real images in the air by retro-reflection [Yamane et al. 2015]. There are several studies on usage of TMDs for near-eye displays [Otao et al. 2018]. They aimed to increase the viewing angle by shortening the optical path length of the generated virtual lens. In contrast to previous work, we use TMD as focusing optics

¹http://www.qdlaser.com/ (last accessed May 16th, 2018)




Figure 2: (a) The configuration of laser projector. The point light source passes through the optics such as beam combiner and the image is determined by the LCOS. These techniques realize a wide viewing angle, a high frame rate, and a high resolution compared to the scanning type. (b) Our proposed configuration with TMD. (c), (d) Our proposed see-through configuration with TMD and half-mirror.




Figure 3: Hardware implementation. (a) Non-see-through prototype with single TMD and laser projector. (b) See-through prototype with dielectric multilayer mirror as beamsplitter.

for the laser projection and render the image on retina directly. By focusing rays with micro-mirrors, it enables distortion-less projection of the wavefront with always in focus with low energy power. To design the optical schematics easily and avoid the optical distortion of our eyeball lens, we employed the laser projection system, shown in Figure 1 (a) and Figure 2. An SLM-type laser projector was adapted as a point light source. Red, green and blue laser light sources were modulated through the SLM and collimated using collimating optics. This type of projector is compact and does not require focusing on the projection surface. Then, we set TMD as focusing optics and the focal point is center of pupils. The key feature of our proposed schematic is that it concentrates the divergent light from the projector using the TMD. This device transfers point light sources to plane symmetric positions using retro-reflection. Since the TMD is composed of micro-mirrors, chromatic aberration and distortion do not occur, and the wavefront is kept coherent.

For implementation, the projection system consisted of a laser driven LCOS and a class 1 laser source. The resolution was 1280×720 pixels, the brightness was 100 lumens. The horizontal viewing angle of the projector was 38 degrees and the vertical viewing angle was 22 degrees. Note that if all the optical components meet the necessary specifications, the viewing angle of our retina display coincides with the viewing angle of the laser light source. The implemented optical circuit is shown in Figure 3. The obtained image from see-through type is shown in Figure 1 (b).

The following sentences have been removed from the author version.

REFERENCES

Changwon Jang, Kiseung Bang, Seokil Moon, Jonghyun Kim, Seungjae Lee, and Byoungho Lee. 2017. Retinal 3D: Augmented Reality Near-eye Display via Pupiltracked Light Field Projection on Retina. ACM Trans. Graph. 36, 6, Article 190 (Nov. 2017), 13 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3130800.3130889

Joel Kollin. 1993. A retinal display for virtual-environment applications. In Proceedings of SID International Symposium, Digest of Technical Papers, 1993.

Chun-da Liao and Jui-che Tsai. 2009. The Evolution of MEMS Displays. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics 56, 4 (April 2009), 1057–1065. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2008.2005684

Yoichi Ochiai. 2018. How could we ignore the lens and pupils of eyeballs: Metamaterial optics for retinal projection. CoRR abs/1804.01253 (2018). arXiv:1804.01253 http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.01253

Kazuki Otao, Yuta Itoh, Kazuki Takazawa, Hiroyuki Osone, and Yoichi Ochiai. 2018. Air Mounted Eyepiece: Optical See-Through HMD Design with Aerial Optical Functions. In Proceedings of the 9th Augmented Human International Conference (AH '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 1, 7 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 3174910.3174911

T. Yamane, S. Maekawa, Y. Utsumi, I. Okada, and A. Yamaguchi. 2015. Fabrication and evaluation of Dihedral Corner Reflector Array for floating image manufactured by synchrotron radiation. In 2015 International Conference on Electronics Packaging and iMAPS All Asia Conference (ICEP-IAAC). 436–439. https://doi.org/10.1109/ ICEP-IAAC.2015.7111052